



Conservative Management of a Hollow Viscus Perforation in a Covid-19 Positive Patient

S. S. Bhattacharjee¹, Greeshma Suresh^{1*} and B. R. Arun^{1*}

¹Department of General Surgery, Silchar Medical College and Hospital, Silchar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author SSB designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors GS and BRA performed the statistical analysis and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Yasushi Shibata, University of Tsukuba, Japan.
(2) Dr. N. S. Kannan, Tamil Nadu Mgr Medical University, India.

Reviewers:

- (1) Serghei Covantev, State University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Nicolae Testemitanu", Moldova.
(2) Leonardo Bustamante-Lopez, Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60180>

Case Report

Received 25 July 2020
Accepted 11 August 2020
Published 15 August 2020

ABSTRACT

Background: Spontaneous perforation of hollow viscous, following prolonged periods of fasting is usually seen in the first part of the duodenum. Surgical treatment is the gold standard therapeutic option. Mortality of around 8 to 25 % is seen and is usually associated with delayed diagnosis due to vague symptoms or due to delayed presentation. Several reports have been published on the conservative management of duodenal perforation.

Aim: Here, we present a case with suspected duodenal perforation with Covid19 positive status, at Silchar Medical College, Assam, India.

Case Presentation: Here, we present a 45 year old hypertensive male with acute onset of pain abdomen, nausea and abdominal distension for two days, in hemodynamic shock, diagnosed to be a case of hollow viscus perforation, suspected to be duodenal perforation with Covid19 positive status. He was resuscitated and managed conservatively with Ultrasonography guided insertion of a tube drain and symptomatic management of Covid19 was done.

Results: Full recovery of the patient after a period of 21 days hospital stay and uneventful discharge from the hospital followed.

Conclusion: Prompt drainage of secretions and prevention of accumulation of septic foci, under the cover of antibiotics, and acid suppressants is an alternative to surgical therapy in a case of duodenal perforation with Covid 19 positive status with inoperability due to hemodynamic instability.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: gree92shmas@gmail.com, baragurarun@gmail.com;

Keywords: Duodenal perforation; gastric perforation; nonoperative management; COVID19.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Duodenal perforation may occur due to a variety of causes including peptic ulceration, iatrogenic, trauma etc., and is associated with high mortality rates due to delayed presentation and diagnosis. The investigation of choice is CECT (Contrast Enhanced Computed Tomography). Although X-ray Plain Picture (erect view) of the abdomen with the bilateral domes of diaphragm shows air under the diaphragm [1,2], giving a diagnosis of the presence of a hollow viscus perforation, the drawback being, it is non-specific of the site and status of perforation. Although surgery is the mainstay of treatment, the treatment protocol is dependent on the cause of perforation, the site, the timing of presentation and the clinical condition of the patient. Conservative management seems feasible in cases of stable patients with sealed perforation [3-6], even though majority of the patients require surgery in acute presentation or due to peritonitis and sepsis.

2. CASE REPORT

A 45 year old hypertensive male presented to the emergency room with pain abdomen, nausea for two days and distension of abdomen and drowsiness for one day. He had been on religious fasting for a period of 22 days, prior to the presentation. On examination, we found him to be disoriented, drowsy with Blood pressure (BP), pulse rate (PR), oxygen saturation (sPO₂) not recordable, Chest was bilaterally clear, Cardiovascular examination- no abnormality detected, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) was 14/15 (E₄V₄M₅). On per-abdominal examination, generalized distension and rigidity was noted over the entire abdomen (Fig. 1), Peristaltic sounds could not be heard. Digital per-rectal examination was suggestive of a collapsed rectum with finger stained with mucous, no other abnormalities were detected.

Immediate resuscitation was started with two large gauge IV bore cannulas, IV-crystalloids 2litres were administered at 20ml/kg/hr after an initial fluid bolus of 500 mL. The initial urine output on per-urethral catheterisation was nil, which gradually improved to 230 ml after 2hrs. Under Intensive Care monitoring, Infusion Noradrenalin was started in 500 ml Normal

Saline in one channel at 10-12drops/min. Seeing no improvement in the hemodynamic of the patient, inj dobutamine was added to 500ml Normal saline at 12-18drops/min. A nasogastric tube was inserted for decompression of the bowel and to remove additional gastrointestinal secretions. Simultaneously a bedside Ultrasonography was done which suggested the presence of moderate debris septated fluid collection in the peritoneal cavity, parasplenic and subhepatic regions with multiple intra-peritoneal air-foci. Bedside X-ray plain picture (erect) of the abdomen was obtained which was suggestive of air under the domes of the diaphragm, suggestive of a hollow viscus perforation. His blood routine picture has been shown in Fig. 2. Due to the ongoing Covid 19 pandemic, a routine nasopharyngeal swab for RT-PCR was also done, which came positive.



Fig. 1. Presentation with distention and pain abdomen

There was no improvement in his hemodynamic status, he was continued on vasopressors, injectable antibiotics (Meropenem 1 gm iv 12-hrly), iv proton pump inhibitors (Pantoprazole 40 mg iv 12hrly), infusion paracetamol (100 ml iv 12-hrly). Anaesthesia consultation was done to operate upon the patient but we were advised against surgical intervention due to the poor hemodynamic state. After a wait of 48 hours, an Ultrasonography guided percutaneous insertion of a 20Fr tube drain was done on the bedside, under local anaesthesia, so as to remove the septic foci from the body as a temporary measure (Fig. 2). The aspirate from the drain was sent for culture and sensitivity. The fluid was sensitive to Meropenem, which was continued further for his treatment. He was

started on TPN through a central venous line. He was also infused with human albumin 1 unit daily.



Fig. 2. Ultrasonography guided placement of per-cutaneous drain

Gradually, the patient showed clinical improvement (Table 1). His blood picture improved (Table 2), his abdominal distension decreased. By the 4th day since presentation, he had passed flatus, and the 24hours drain output had started to plateau. After consultation with some of the senior most surgeons, it was decided that the patient be continued on conservative management suspecting a sealed perforation. By day 6 his drain output had started to decrease. The nasogastric tube aspirate had decreased to nil. He was passing and had passed few pellets of old foul smell, dark brown faeces. Clinically, it was concluded that the perforation had begun to heal spontaneously. As per the prevalent protocols for Covid19, he was given symptomatic treatment only, which included Oxygen inhalation to which he responded well. He was continued on TPN while infusion of human albumin was stopped on day 7. On day 10, he was started on sips of oral fluids, which he tolerated very well, with no change in the drain output and no distension of abdomen was noted. The oral fluid intake was gradually increased over a period of 4 days and a trial of semi-solid diet was given. The patient tolerated that very well. On day 15, he was started on solid diet, as small frequent meals. He responded well. By this time he had tested negative for COVID19 by RTPCR. He was then shifted to the general ward. The percutaneous drain was removed on day 17. He was kept under observation for a period of 3 more days,

while being provided physiotherapy as he was bedridden for more than a period of 2 weeks, he had started to develop muscular atrophy. Though he was a known case of hypertension, his blood pressure did not rise above the normal range for his age post recovery. He was advised to follow-up for this Blood pressure and was given lifestyle modification advises for the same. On day 21, he was discharged uneventfully, after full recovery.

3. DISCUSSION

In cases with prolonged periods of fasting [7,8], chronic alcohol abuse, spontaneous peptic ulcer perforation is seen in the first part of the duodenum. Duodenal perforation is not a rare one but lethal condition due to peritonitis and sepsis [9], with a varied range of mortality(8-25%) [10]. The duodenal perforation can be free or contained. Free perforation occurs with bowel content leaking freely into the peritoneal cavity whereas, contained perforation occurs when the surrounding organs wall off the area. The gold standard investigation for the diagnosis of a hollow viscus perforation is a CECT Abdomen. But, there are studies which have suggested the use of X-Ray Plain picture [1,2] of the abdomen, Ultrasonography [4] of the abdomen for the diagnosis of a hollow viscus perforation. A few cases of hollow viscus perforation with Covid19 positive status with successful conservative management have been reported [11]. Earliest case of duodenal perforation was described by Muralto in 1688 and reported by Lenepneau [12]. Taylor's method (1946) [13] for conservative management of perforated ulcer repair consisted of nasogastric aspiration, fluid resuscitation, iv broad spectrum antibiotics, and antisecretory drugs with meticulous clinical and biochemical monitoring of the patient [14]. The first successful surgical repair was reported in 1929 by Dean [15]. The treatment protocol shifted from conservative to open and later to laparoscopic repair with primary repair and placement of an omental (Graham's) patch [14-17]. The advancement in the treatment modalities has reached up to endoscopic placement of clips, metallic stents over the perforation. The conservative management is limited to delayed presentations with sealed perforations with hemodynamic stability or in old patients with uncontrolled co morbid conditions, moribund patients in shock [18,19].

Table 1. Progressive clinical picture

Day	Mean BP (mm Hg)	Mean Pulse rate (beats/min)	Oxygen saturation (sPO ₂ %)	Drain output (mL)	Nasogastric tube aspirate (mL)	Urine output (mL)
1	NR	NR	NR	-	500	300
2	NR	52	86	-	1300	700
3	NR	94	83	900	700	1400
4	58/34	96	88	1100	400	1700
5	72/48	88	90	1300	350	2200
6	84/62	86	90	1200	350	2400
7	90/68	86	92	1100	250	3400
8	96/72	84	93	1400	100	3300
9	98/78	84	96	1350	30	3000
10	102/78	86	98	1100	-	3200
11	104/76	82	98	1000	-	2800
12	110/78	84	98	800	-	2200
13	108/78	88	98	400	-	3200
14	112/80	86	97	200	-	3000
15	110/78	82	98	100	-	2800
16	108/74	84	98	50	-	2700
17	114/78	80	99	10	-	3200
18	112/78	78	98	-	-	3000
19	110/80	80	98	-	-	2800
20	114/82	76	98	-	-	2800

Table 2. Progressive haematological picture

	Day1	Day3	Day5	Day10	Day14	Day17	Day20
Haemoglobin (gm%)	12	12.3	12.1	13.8	13.6	14.1	14.7
TLC (per cumm)	2960	11970	9074	6722	6020	5859	5427
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.62	0.57	0.61	1.1	0.58	0.53	0.48
Serum sodium (mmol/L)	135	139	136	135	137	136	137
Serum potassium (mmol/L)	4.8	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.3
Serum albumin (gm/dl)	2.8	2.56	2.51	2.41	2.6	2.8	3.2

4. CONCLUSION

In a hemodynamic ally unstable patient, with duodenal perforation, with COVID19 positive status; conservative management with the placement of ultrasonography guided percutaneous placement of a tube drain can be a successful treatment modality.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient's consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Søreide K, Thorsen K, Søreide JA. Strategies to improve the outcome of emergency surgery for perforated peptic ulcer. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101(1):51-64. DOI:10.1002/bjs.9368. Epub 2013 Nov 29. PMID: 24338777.
- Van Randen A, Laméris W, Luitse JS, Gorzeman M, Hesselink EJ, Dolmans DE, et al. OPTIMA study group. The role of plain radiographs in patients with acute abdominal pain at the ED. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2011;29(6):582-589.e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2009.12.020. Epub 2010 Apr 24. PMID: 20825832.
- Lee CW, Sarosi GA Jr. Emergency ulcer surgery. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2011;91(5):1001-13. DOI:10.1016/j.suc.2011.06.008. PMID: 21889026; PMCID: PMC3811928.
- Moriwaki Y, Sugiyama M, Toyoda H, Kosuge T, Arata S, Iwashita M, et al. Ultrasonography for the diagnosis of

- intraperitoneal free air in chest-abdominal-pelvic blunt trauma and critical acute abdominal pain. Arch Surg. 2009;144(2):137-41;142. DOI:10.1001/archsurg.2008.553. PMID: 19221324.
5. Berne TV, Donovan AJ. Nonoperative treatment of perforated duodenal ulcer. Arch Surg. 1989;124(7):830-2. DOI:10.1001/archsurg.1989.01410070084017. PMID: 2742484.
 6. Donovan AJ, Vinson TL, Maulsby GO, Gewin JR. Selective treatment of duodenal ulcer with perforation. Ann Surg. 1979;189(5):627-36. DOI:10.1097/00000658-197905000-00013. PMID: 443915; PMCID: PMC1397180.
 7. Bener A, Derbala MF, Al-Kaabi S, Taryam LO, Al-Ameri MM, Al-Muraikhi NM, et al. Frequency of peptic ulcer disease during and after Ramadan in a United Arab Emirates hospital. East Mediterr Health J. 2006;12(1-2):105-11. PMID: 17037227.
 8. Dönderici O, Temizhan A, Küçükbaş T, Eskioğlu E. Effect of Ramadan on peptic ulcer complications. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1994;29(7):603-6. DOI:10.3109/00365529409092479. PMID: 7939395.
 9. Ansari D, Torén W, Lindberg S, Pyrhönen HS, Andersson R. Diagnosis and management of duodenal perforations: a narrative review. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2019;54(8):939-944. DOI:10.1080/00365521.2019.1647456. Epub 2019 Jul 27. PMID: 31353983.
 10. Amini A, Lopez RA. Duodenal Perforation. In: Stat Pearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020. PMID: 31971724.
 11. Kangas-Dick A, Prien C, Rojas K, Pu Q, Hamshow M, Wan E, et al. Gastrointestinal perforation in a critically ill patient with COVID-19 pneumonia. SAGE Open Med Case Rep. 2020;8:2050313X20940570. DOI:10.1177/2050313X20940570. PMID: 32728444; PMCID: PMC7366399.
 12. Lenepneau I. Cas de perforation der duodenum de lien d'une ancienne cicatrice de cet intestina. Gaz Hop. 1839;35:137.
 13. Taylor H. Perforated peptic ulcer treated without operation. Lancet. 1946;2:441-44.
 14. Dascalescu C, Andriescu L, Bulat C, Danila R, Dodu L, Acornicesei M, Radulescu C. Taylor's method: a therapeutic alternative for perforated gastroduodenal ulcer. Hepatogastroenterology. 2006;53(70):543-6. PMID: 16995458.
 15. Dean HP. A Case of Perforation of a Chronic Ulcer of the Duodenum Successfully Treated by Excision: Death Two Months later from Acute Intestinal Obstruction by a Band. Br Med J. 1894;1(1741):1014-5. DOI:10.1136/bmj.1.1741.1014. PMID: 20754798; PMCID: PMC2404913.
 16. Graham RR. The treatment of perforated duodenal ulcers. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1937;64:235-8.
 17. Cellan-Jones CJ. A RAPID METHOD OF TREATMENT IN PERFORATED DUODENAL ULCER. Br Med J. 1929;1(3571):1076-7. DOI:10.1136/bmj.1.3571.1076. PMID: 20774747; PMCID: PMC2450792.
 18. Mouly C, Chati R, Scotté M, Regimbeau JM. Therapeutic management of perforated gastro-duodenal ulcer: literature review. J Visc Surg. 2013;150(5):333-40. DOI:10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2013.07.001. Epub 2013 Sep 4. PMID: 24011662.
 19. Songne B, Jean F, Foulatier O, Khalil H, Scotté M. Traitement non opératoire des perforations d'ulcère gastroduodéal. Résultats d'une étude prospective [Non operative treatment for perforated peptic ulcer: results of a prospective study]. Ann Chir. 2004;129(10):578-82. DOI:10.1016/j.anchir.2004.06.012. PMID: 15581818.

© 2020 Bhattacharjee et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60180>